## ICMSF (2011) Microorganisms in Foods 8: Use of Data for Assessing Process Control and Product Acceptance

Errata (as at 10 November 2012).

Deletions indicated by strikethrough; insertions indicated by underline

Location	Original text (with errors shown as strike-through )	Corrected text (with new text shown underlined)
Page xv, consultant listing for Kirin Bhilegaonkar	Bombay Veterinary College, Bombay, India	Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnayar, Bareilly, India
Page 81, Table 8.2, End Product section, Product column, Line 1	Raw, noncomminuted meat	Raw, comminuted meat
Page 101 Table 9.2, End product	Routing sampling for pathogensdescribed in Sect. 9.34.2.5	Routing sampling for pathogensdescribed in Sect. 9.4.2.5
Page 133 References	FAO/WHO (2011) Risk assessment of <i>Vibrio</i> parahaemolyticus in seafood. Interpretative summary and technical report. Microbiological Risk Assessment Series No. 16. Rome (in press)	FAO/WHO (2011) Risk assessment of <i>Vibrio</i> parahaemolyticus in seafood. Interpretative summary and technical report. Microbiological Risk Assessment Series No. 16. Rome.
Page 187 Table 13.3, last line and footnote b	"n" of <del>20</del> for <i>Salmonella</i> for frozen fruit	"n" of <u>10</u> for <i>Salmonella</i> for frozen fruit
	<sup>b</sup> Refer to <del>a</del> -A for performance	b Refer to Appendix A for performance
Pate 213, line 2	considered <del>for</del> only for periodic verification.	considered only for periodic verification.
Page 227	nuts (almonds, hazelnuts,	nuts (almonds, hazelnuts,
16.2, line 2	pistachios and Brazil nuts)	pistachios, <u>coconut</u> and Brazil nuts)
Page 360,	Thus, whereas the standard	Thus, whereas the standard
2nd full paragraph,	deviation associated with a count of 100 cells is $\pm 10\%$ , for a test	deviation associated with a count of 100 cells is about 10% of the
last sentence	involving	count, for a test involving